Translation into English: Chapter 2 - Catalogue of Errors for Both Theories of Relativity

from the German documentation of G.O. Mueller

"On the Absolute Magnitude of the Special Theory of Relativity - A Documentary Thought Experiment on 95 Years of Criticism (1908-2003) with Proof of 3789 Critical Works" - Text Version 2.1 - June 2004 http://www.ekkehard-friebe.de/kap2.pdf

Translator Rothwell Bronrowan © Ekkehard Friebe - Oct. 2012

S: Presentations / Error No. 4

Almost without exception, all authors of relativity claim that without Albert Einstein's STR one could not build atom bombs, or operate nuclear power stations or particle accelerators: these activities provide thousandfold proof of the theory every day

All of these claims are only the application of the fault as to the mass-energy relationship $E = mc^2$ and have the obvious purpose of trying to impress a poorly informed specialist public and a completely uninformed general public, i.e. pure hogwash which does not contain a single honest word (cf. Errors K 1 and K 2): Found by other researchers before the STR, not relativistic, also no conversion of mass into energy, but energy released from the atomic nuclei - and worst of all: not even a discovery of Albert Einstein's. That's the truth about the world formula, proven a thousandfold every day.

In his philosophical language Kant called this sort of thing a "Subreption", which in everyday language is a worming into someone's favour. It doesn't apply to Albert Einstein, who in the STR had never prophesied any use of nuclear power. Even Rutherford had never believed this until 1935.

But the followers of the world of relativity have thought consequently ahead. Everything spectacular must be from Albert Einstein - who else? And didn't Albert Einstein write a letter to the American president and demand the construction of the atom bomb? Haven't we experienced it, the photos of Hiroshima and Nagasaki that say more than a thousand words?

At last one justifies physics with the political history: polit-physics. And the occurrence of a supposedly physical theory "the STR" becomes, unnoticed, the event of the pure history of science, though still just part of the frills to the celebration of the genius of the century, our new Copernicus-Galilei-Newton. Very consequently thought out, the relativists were able here to find a conclusive, truly final justification for the STR: The special theory of relativity must be true, because it was prepared by Albert Einstein.

The relativists still don't dare to say it aloud, but their presentations already work effectively with this last of all justifications. The broad public would also have nothing against it if they did speak it out. Who would want to doubt the existence of atom bombs and nuclear power stations? One drives past them occasionally, the nuclear power stations. Their inventor must indeed have been a great man.

For time dilation too, it is often claimed that this is confirmed a thousand times over, e.g. L. Marder (1979, Reisen durch die Raum-Zeit [Travelling Through Spacetime]) writes in the Foreword: "Since the phenomenon of time dilation has become an everyday thing (at least in the laboratories) ..." cf. in this connection Errors D 6, D 7 and D 8.